SSMIS AVTP, AVMP Cal/Val: Ground-Truth or Consequences

AEROSPACE
Assuring Space Mission Success



John Wessel
Ye Hong
Bob Farley
Bruce Thomas
Don Boucher
Al Fote
Dave Kunkee
Arlene Kishi
Ann Mazuk
Steven Beck

Aerospace

Steve Swadley, NRL
Gene Poe, NRL

Oct. 16th 2008

© The Aerospace Corporation 2008

SSMIS Scan Pattern

•The first **SSMIS** instrument was successfully launched on October 18th, 2003, aboard the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program satellite F16 (DMSP-F16)

•**SSMIS** is a conically-scanning passive microwave radiometer that includes 7 temperature sounding channels peaking below 30 Km, and 7 peaking between 30 Km and 80 Km, 4 water vapor channels, along with imaging channels from the heritage SSMI instrument

•The tropospheric and stratospheric temperature sounding channels are similar to those of the **AMSU-A** instrument aboard NOAA satellites 15-18, which have tremendous positive impact on numerical weather prediction (NWP) systems

•The **AMSU-A** instruments have cross track scanners, as opposed to the conically-scanning SSMIS.



Channel Characteristics of F16 SSMIS

(Poe et al., 2001)

Channel	Center Freq. (GHz)	3-db Width (MHz)	Freq. Stab (MHz)	Pol.	NEDT (K)	Sampling Interval(km)
1.	50.3	380	10	V	0.34	37.5
2.	52.8	389	10	V	0.32	37.5
3.	53.596	380	10	V	0.33	7.5
4.	54.4	383	10	V	0.33	37.5
5.	55.5	391	10	V	0.34	37.5
6.	57.29	330	10	RCP	0.41	37.5
7.	59.4	239	10	RCP	0.40	37.5
8.	150	1642(2)	200	H	0.89	12.5
9.	183.31+/-6.6	1526(2)	200	H	0.97	12.5
10.	183.31+/-3	1019(2)	200	H	0.67	12.5
11.	183.31+/-1	513(2)	200	H	0.81	12.5
12.	19.35	355	75	H	0.33	25
13.	19.35	357	75	V	0.31	25
14.	22.235	401	75	V	0.43	25
15.	37	1616	75	H	0.25	25
16.	37	1545	75	V	0.20	25
17.	91.655	1418(2)	100	V	0.33	12.5
18.	91.655	1411(2)	100	H	0.32	12.5
19.	63.283248+/-0.285271		0.08	RCP	2.7	75
20.	60.792668+/-0.357892		0.08	RCP	2.7	75
21.	60.792668+/-0.357892+/-0.002		0.08	RCP	1.9	75
22.	60.792668+/-0.357892+/-0.0055		0.12	RCP	1.3	75
23.	60.792668+/-0.357892+/-0.016		0.34	RCP	0.8	75
24.	60.792668+/-0.357892+/-0.050		0.84	RCP	0.9	37.5



SSMIS Lower Atmospheric Soundings Cal/Val

Results

- LAS channels are stable over short time intervals
- Bias varies monthly as a function of latitude and orbit
  - –Noticeable for temperature channels
  - –Major perturbation for moisture channels
    - *–Caused by variable heating of the primary reflector*
    - *–Additional contribution from solar heating of warm load surface*
  - *– Reproducible over annual time cycle*
- Channels 1-5 V-polarized rather than specified H-pol



DGS view of F-16 from sun

SSMIS Lower Atmospheric Soundings Cal/Val

LAS Cal/Val Methods

Radiative Transfer-based Calibration

•SDRs compared with radiative transfer calculations based on:

NWP Fields



Lidar

Special and Operational Radio-sondes

SSMIS Lower Atmospheric Soundings Cal/Val

Special Measurement Campaigns

- Aircraft-based dropsondes
- Shipboard radiosonde launches



Direct Aircraft-based Radiometer Calibration

- NASA Goddard CoSMIR for soundings channels

Other tools: DGS, Thermal Modeling, SIMS

Special measurements coordinated by Steve Swadley, NRL

NPOESS Sounding Requirements More Stringent than for SSMIS





Current Ground Truth Accuracy Insufficient for NPOESS Requirements



DMSP/Aerospace Cal/Val Data Archive

Satellite and ground truth data acquired by Aerospace at AFWA

Collocations performed on daily basis

- •SSMIS, SSM/T-1, SSM/T-2, SSM/I, AMSU, OLS
- •RSDRs, TDRs, SDRs, EDRs
- •NWP—AVN, NOGAPS, ECMWF (
  through NRL, courtesy of ECMWF)
- •CDFSII
- •Operational RAOBs
- •Surface data

•Software developed by Aerospace

- •Standardized IDL data readers
- •Collocation software
- •Data analysis software
- •RAOB QC software



SSMIS Water Vapor TDRs
Barking Sands Region
6 Nov 2003

Automated Quality Control Software Essential for Radiosonde Data Screening

- Aerospace wrote its own QC Code which checks for:
  - *non-physical temps.*
  - *temp continuity*
  - *Non-physical lapse rate*
  - *Continuity at the tropopause*
  - *Many other conditions*
- Code corrects certain conditions
- Code rejects non-compliant profiles
  - *More than 60% rejection rate*



Operational Radiosonde Sites Contributing to SSMIS Cal/Val



Atmospheric Lidar at Aerospace

AEROSPACE
Assuring Space Mission Success

© The Aerospace Corporation 2008

History of Lidar at Aerospace

- Raman Lidar of water at Malabar FL –1996
  - *Proof of value to DMSP Cal/Val efforts*
- Development of ATLS – 1996
  - *ATLS operational 1997*
- DMSP cal/val using ATLS -SSMI, SSM/T1, SSM/T2
  - *F14 and F15*
  - *Kauai*
  - *San Nicolas Is.*
  - *Table Mtn. Facility*
- DMSP F16 SSMIS cal/val
  - *Kauai*
- DMSP F17 SSMIS cal/val
  - *Kauai*

Raman Lidar


Transmitted Photons
O_2
H_2O
N_2
Scattered Signal
Laser Transmitter
Telescope Receiver


Rayleigh
Nitrogen Raman
PMT 355nm
Filter
PMT 387 nm
X
Primary Focus
Water Raman
PMT 407 nm
Photon Counters


Laser
Raman Emission
Energy
v=1
j=n
v=0


Rayleigh-Mie
Laser Frequency
Vibrational Raman
Log Intensity
O_2
N_2
H_2O
Rotational Raman
Wavelength

ATLS-2

- 40’ std. shipping container
- Zenith pointing only
- 1 meter aperture receiver
- 12 W 355 nm
- 2.5 W 374 nm tunable
  - *Seeded, doubled Alexandrite laser*
- Mesospheric Temperature
  - *85-105 km alt.*
- Water , tropo and stratospheric temperature




Barking Sands Nov 6, 2003 6:38 UTC
Hi Alt PC
PC noise
Analog
NWS RAOB
Lo Alt PC
Vaisala sonde
RH (lidar) =
RH(satd. Ice)
RH (%)
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
-10
0
2
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
Altitude (km)

Depolarization Elastic Scattering



Barking Sands Water Vapor, April 19, '02



Time-coincident Mauna Loa ar Sands Lidar P vember



Temperature (K)

Altitude (km)

Altitude (km)

Scatter Plots of RTM Versus SDRs
SSMIS LAS Moisture Channels

CH9







CH10 Lidar



RAOB



ECMWF



Lidar-Based RTM Resolved Seasonal Changes in SSMIS Bias



Spring 2004

Bias

Ascending and Descending Orbits



Cal/Val Discovered Significant SSMIS Bias Changes



Simulated and Observed Bias Ch 4 2004 JD 80 and JD 150

- During specific seasons SSMIS data show strong dependence on ascending/decending node and lattitude

SSMIS Cal/Val Lessons Learned: Critical Sources of Ground Truth

- Operational Radiosondes — **Critical for LAS**
- NWP — **Critical for LAS –ECMWF**
- Special Radiosondes — **Critical for LAS**
- Lidar
  - *Barking Sands* — **Critical for LAS**
  - *JPL* — **Critical for UAS --MLO**
  - *ARM/CART SGP* — *Unsuccessful, RS90 Supporting*
  - *Other* — *Insufficient data*
- Aircraft
  - *CoSMIR* — **Very Helpful for LAS**
  - *APMIR* — **Critical for Surface Channels**
  - *Special Campaigns* — *Supporting LAS*
  - *ACARS* — *Insufficient matchup data*

Lidar played an important role in SSMIS cal/ val

- *Deployed to Kauai over two-year period*
- *Made water vapor measurements to 18 km altitude coincident with F-16 over-flights*
- *Made temperature measurements to 85 km altitude coincident with F-16 over-flights*
- *Supported ER-2 data showing polarization problem*
- *Helped to uncover reflector emissivity issue producing bias errors*

BACKUP SLIDES

NPOESS Sounding Requirements

Applicable ground truth methods

NWP and/or RAOBs — **Lidar + Radiosondes**

Scientific Radiosondes — **Exceeds current state-of-art**

AVMP performance requirements and current estimates

Subject	Specified Values	Threshold Values
Clear, Surface to 600 mb	14%	0% or 0.2g/kg)
Clear, 600 mb to 300 mb	15%	35% (or 0.1g/kg)
Clear, 300 mb to 100 mb	12% (or .05g/kg)	35% (or 0.1g/kg)
Cloudy, Surface to 600 mb	16%	20% (or 0.2g/kg)
Cloudy, 600 mb to 300 mb	17%	40% (or 0.1g/kg)
Cloudy, 300 mb to 100 mb	16% (or.05g/kg)	40% (or 0.1g/kg)

AVTP performance requirements and current estimates

Clear, Surface to 300 mb	0.9 K / 1 km Lyr	1.6K
Clear, 300 mb to 30 mb	1 K / 3 km Lyr	1.5K
Clear, 30 mb to 1 mb	1.5 K / 5 km Lyr	1.5K
Clear, 1 mb to 0.5 mb	3.5 K / 5 km Lyr	3.5K
Cloudy, Surface to 700 mb	2.0 K / 1 km Lyr	2.5K
Cloudy, 700 mb to 300 mb	1.4 K / 1 km Lyr	1.5K
Cloudy, 300 mb to 30 mb	1.3 K / 3 km Lyr	1.5K
Cloudy, 1 mb to 0.5 mb	3.5 K / 5 km Lyr	3.5K

NPP ATMS Radiometric calibration error limit: ~0.5**K** (pg 41 NPP Cal/Val Plan, Dec 30, 2001)

Ascending and Descending Bias over Conus Versus Month

SSMIS Channel 4 Based on Raobs



183 GHz Bias Versus SDR, SSMIS-Lidar Barking

Sands Nov03-Jan04



regression_F16_SSMIS_Lidar_BS_TsEs.xls

Rev 5 data



The large spread in balloon data does not allow for accurate determination of reflector emissivity from slope

Comparisons between Radiosondes

NWS Lihue Raob and Barking Sands RS-90 Radiosonde



Ave Temp Dif	0.6 K
Std Dev	0.9 K
RMS	1.1 K
0-25 km	

Ave RH Dif	0.7%
Std Dev	13.3%
RMS	13.3%
0-15 km	

RH (%)



RH Calibration, Barking Sands Lidar

ATLS-1 Specifications

- Full sky scanning .75 m aperture
- 12W 10 nsec 355 nm transmit beam
- 9 channel receiver
  - *3 Water (high/low alt.)*
  - *2 Nitrogen(high/low alt.)*
  - *4 Elastic (2 polarizations/ high/low)*
- Transportable by truck or military aircraft (C-130, C-5, C-17)
- Water to 18 km
- Temp to 85 km
- 70 km range for cloud detection
- Requires 1-2 days setup
- Power (50 amps, 208 3 phase)

Water in the Atmosphere

- Water is not well mixed in the atmosphere
  - *Above the mixing layer water is found in layers, often not thicker than tens of meters*
  - *Lidar is sensitive to the presence of such thin layers*
- By measuring the mixing ratio and the temperature, relative humidity (RH) can be determined using lidar
- Excellent spatial and temporal resolution

Barking Sands Water Vapor, April 25, '02



Rayleigh Temperature Lidar



Figure 1: Nightly average temperatures for 9-17 July, 2002 (left) and corresponding false color plots of 16.5minute averages vs. UT on the right. A prominent thermal inversion at 72 km is apparent on the 11th, and oscillates with a period of approximately 2.5 hours. The MSIS 2000 profile average for 9-17 is superimposed on the nightly average, and error bars are to the right.

40

Lidar Siting

- ATLS is a mobile system, capable of being deployed anywhere on the globe by military aircraft, and in CONUS by truck
- Planned lidar data sites include
  - *CART ARM site (mid-CONUS)*
    - Water, temp, atms. Density, AOT
  - *Mid-Pacific*
  - *Eastern CONUS*

ATLS attributes for NPP/NPOESS cal/val

- Mobile
  - *Siting anywhere on the globe*
  - *Sea level siting important for water vapor*
- Flexible
  - *Can be configured for a variety of measurements*
- Scanning capability
  - *Cloud mapping*
  - *LOS with satellite sensor*
- Balloon sondes co-located with lidar
  - *Insures high quality data accuracy*
  - *Allow complete altitude coverage for temp and humidity, important for radiative transfer calculations*

Lessons Learned during SSMIS Cal/Val

- Do not rely on derived performance estimates
- Verify calibration is stable to environmental temperature using sources emitting at measurement frequencies (Confirm frequency/bandpass drift is acceptable. Blackbody test is inadequate.)
- Verify polarization for each feedhorn
- Verify off-axis rejection for full system at all frequencies
- Verify calibration for full radiometric path
  - If reflector is outside calibration path, verify microwave emissivity at all measurement frequencies, verify reflector surface roughness, surface durability, and IR and visible characteristics
  - Verify intrusion-free field of view
  - Verify stable calibration in thermal vac under varying simulated solar loads to all instrument components

---

Verify is defined above as "develop and apply reliable laboratory test methods"

ECMWF RH Versus Lidar

Barking Sands Nov 2003 – Jan 2004



ECMWFvsLidarBS2004b.xls

ECMWF Temperature Versus RS-90 & Lidar

Barking Sands Nov 2003 – Jan 2004



ECMWFvsLidarBS2004b.xls

SSMIS RTM Bias, Yearly Average
Measured by Global Operational Radiosondes



CMRT-SDRlatCDFSII2004JD13-113AllLocs.ppt

cdfsii_screenedCMRTraob-SDRs.xls

Rev 4 data

SSMIS Cal/Val Lessons Learned: Measurement Wish List

- Radiative transfer
  - –Improve atmospheric transmission model
  - –Improve surface model
- Operational Radiosondes
  - –Increase vertical resolution
  - –Improve moisture accuracy
  - –Improve ceiling altitude
  - –Improve high altitude accuracy
  - –Increase number of collocations
  - –Decrease ascent time

SSMIS Cal/Val Lessons Learned: Don't Underestimate Required Resources!

- Personnel
  - *Data archive management (Thomas, Kishi)* *2*
  - *Radiative transfer (Hong)* *2*
  - *Special Campaigns (Swadley)* *2*
  - *Lidar (Farley)* *1*
  - *Data Analysis (Wessel, Fote)* *3*
  - *Program Management (Boucher, Bohlson)* *1*
  - *Special Investigations (Kunkee, Plonski, Boucher)* *2*
  - *DGS, thermal* *1*

- Total 14 FTE yrs

SSMIS Cal/Val Lessons Learned: Measurement Wish List

- Improved NWP
  - *Improved vertical resolution*
  - *Improve moisture accuracy*
  - *Improve high altitude temperature accuracy and increase ceiling*
  - *Improve in frontal regions*
  - *Improve cloud characterization*
  - *Update hourly*
- Lidar
  - *Frequent operation, including daytime*
  - *Multiple locations, marine, continental, polar*
  - *Extend to 100 km*
  - *Avoid orographic influences*
  - *Improve calibration stability*
  - *Improve cloud diagnostics, add upward microwave*